



Email: [info@ottershawforum.com](mailto:info@ottershawforum.com) Website: [www.ottershawforum.com](http://www.ottershawforum.com)

## **ONF SC Meeting 14<sup>th</sup> April 2022 – Runnymede Room, Ottershaw VH 7pm**

1. Present: Nigel Eastment (NE), Julie Last (JL), Gemma Pickett (GP), Jane Tilley (JT), Brian Williams (BW), Hannah Lane (HL), Bob Oliver (BO).

**Observers.** Mick Hunt, Sus Lopez-Garcia.

2. **Apologies:** Ash Hayward (AH), Thomas Gundacker (TG).
3. **Minutes and Notes** of meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2022 were approved without amendment.

4. **Communications:**

Community Survey March 2022.

BO had completed analysis and circulated the Survey Results to SC members. He wanted to know if the SC was happy with how the options were presented. The view was that this was a sound basis to take forward.

BO suggested early circulation of the results to avoid any loss of interest by the community.

BO stated that respondents comments had also been collated and included in the results.

JT suggested being more direct in some areas regarding what ONF can/cannot control.

GP asked how the breakdown of respondents related to the population mix. It was suggested that our numbers and mix were in line with other NP's and that this should be considered acceptable.

All members were actioned to respond to BO with their comments within 7 days with a target for publication of 22<sup>nd</sup> April.

**ACTION: ALL SC.**

NE – Would any of the answers benefit from being correlated to age demographic. It was agreed there may be merit in this but this would be pursued after the initial analysis results had been published.

It was agreed that the survey results should be widely published/advertised - website, Instagram, members e-mail and Website.

### Next Steps.

BO thought we were in a position to progress with the Neighbourhood Plan at a reasonable pace. Focus needed to be on Health, retail and Major businesses. We need to be aware of education issues, where do the children live?

BO has drafted a set of questions for interviewees. These would be circulated for initial comment.

**ACTION: BO**

Initial focus on Health. JT, HL and BO are meeting with the new Ottershaw practice leads, Drs Turner & Paramesawan on 25<sup>th</sup> April. BO stated that it would be important to elicit the views of Dr Harris also.

Jeanette has asked JL if we are going to do a survey of Village Hall users. Discussion followed on how that would be achieved. It was agreed the Hall Committee should be canvassed in the first instance.

HL raised the question of the letter from Danny Sparks. Agreed that they should send it direct to SCC copied to RBC., Councillors and County Councillors. JL to talk with Jeanette. It was agreed that ONF would write to SCC Highways in support of the Village Car Park requirements.

**ACTION: JL**

Discussion ensued on car park management and capacity.

JT – Possible need for it to be time controlled.

HL, historic experience illustrates various problems. Unclear who ownership will rest with. Intelopers from outside our NA need to be managed. Unclear how much of this scope falls within the current planning application.

### Housing Design Codes.

BO had already produced his initial comments on V2. These would be circulated to be reviewed by the SC. HL requested we include the output from the Community event photo activity in the response.

**ACTION: BO.**

There will be engagement with AECOM to develop version 3. This would include assigning codes to character areas.

## **5. Planning Apps and representations.(HL unless stated otherwise)**

Ottershaw East. Vistry are submitting a full planning application for the housing development and the same SANG submission as the Richborough submission.

JT reported that it appeared that Vistry do not yet own the Brox Road Nursery land.

Longcross Garden Village application has been submitted. Ref: RU.22/0393. Full PA for entrance and outline for the rest.

6. **Finance.**

BO. Detailed his submitted budget for next years grant – additional suggestions were made, to be added before submission (approx. £2200). Intent was to cover as much expenditure from main grant as possible.

7. **IT/Website WG – TG**

Carried over to next meeting.

8. **Studies and Support.** (BO unless stated otherwise)

Housing Needs Analysis. BO and BW will meet with Paul Avery towards end of April to discuss comments and recommendations.

**ACTION BO.**

ONEILL Homer have 'walked away'. Assumed to be due to the limits of grant funding and phasing of the payments.

BW asked what have we received from them in concrete terms. BO stated it amounted to very little. It was suggested there is not much we could not do ourselves. We should work to our individual strengths developing our NP and use the free AECOM consultancy to provide support where possible. 4 potential areas of support were available covering policy, NP draft review and housing site selection/development. RBC could also be used for support. The approach was agreed by the SC. Example of an appropriate NP would be useful as a model. It was proposed that West Byfleet might be suitable.

JT reported Berkeley are very keen to take SC members to see another of their sites. It was agreed this would be beneficial.

JT queried whether we going to re-visit the Vision? BO has that in hand and would publish for comment shortly.

**ACTION BO.**

9. **Upcoming Plan Activities (next 3 months).** No specific detail – all major aspects had been previously mentioned.

10. **AOB.**

Discussion on SHBC Local Plan and housing numbers for RBC. 254 houses would need to be accommodated across the borough by 2030.

A320. – Compulsory Purchase Order has been published for consultation. Plot 83, works/plant storage is new. Access currently uncertain.

Delay to the A320 application. Consideration at the SCC Planning Committee for 25<sup>th</sup> April has been cancelled – Delay for at least 1 month. JL, asked if ONF should question the access route to the BT Exchange, and the land in Foxhills

Road. Also questioned how could SCC put in the compulsory purchase if the plan is not finalised and approved.

It was agreed ONF should comment on the CPO . JL to draft email for consideration.

**ACTION: JL.**

It was agreed the SC would only respond on the Otter Roundabout. **Afternote: This should be reconsidered where our NA includes all or part.**

SUS L-G outlined the paucity of information on the landscaping. He will circulate a YouTube video, covering the landscaping solution they are proposing.

GP queried whether we had any key messages for social media. Survey results, A320 delay, Ott E status were proposed.

**ACTION: GP**

SLAA Awareness Task. JT stated that area have been plotted including those around fringe of our NA. It was agreed that some text needed developing for Runnymede Life article an possible publication elsewhere. HL would draft some words. BO would provide RBC Community Planning Panel slides. **Afternote: Slides forwarded 14 April.**

**ACTION: HL.**

**Date of Next Meetings.**

28<sup>th</sup> April

12th and 26th May

9th and 23rd June

Meeting Ended at 9:15 pm.